## Un cas particulier de la relation partie-tout : les compléments adnominaux en $\hat{a}$ avec et sans article défini anaphorique

A specific case of the part-whole relation: French adnominal  $\hat{a}$  N-complements with and without a definite anaphoric article

Vassil Mostrov

**Abstract:** This paper deals with the syntax and the interpretation of French noun phrases of the type  $N \grave{a} N$  where a part-whole relationship is involved. We focus on the differences arising from the presence (un verre au pied  $dor\acute{e}/cass\acute{e}$ ) or absence of a determiner (un verre  $\grave{a}$  pied  $[dor\acute{e}]$ ) before the N denoting the part. We argue that both the adnominal complements  $\grave{a} N (Mod)$  and  $\grave{a} LE N Mod$  function as adjectival constituents that qualify the noun denoting the whole, but the actualization of the part noun can be linguistically effective only in cases where the definite determiner, A-bound according to Guéron's (1985, 2005) hypothesis, is present. The discussion considers the consequences of our analysis.

**Key words:** part-whole relationship, inalienable possession, noun phrase, adnominal complement, anaphora, binding.